

AACL Bioflux

Submission letter

Model of paper

Our journal is a good opportunity for you to publish your papers on time, color, both printed and online, open access, unlimited pages. The journal allows the author(s) to hold the copyright without restrictions. This is an open-access journal distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

AACL Bioflux is an international peer-reviewed journal. Each published article was independently seen before by two Scientific Reviewers and at least one lingvist. Peerreview policy in AACL Bioflux: double blind peer-review. The editors use a soft for screening the plagiarism. Acceptance rate: about 50%. Electronic submission is required.

Because many authors withdrew their manuscript in final form just before the payment of the publication fee, our policy has changed (30 January 2023). The publication fee was replaced by a processing fee (300 USD), which is paid after a preliminary evaluation (not at the end). Our evaluation has two steps. 1)A preliminary evaluation by the editor (the author gets a preliminary acceptance or rejection); 2)An external double blind peer-review (at this point the author gets a final decision: acceptance or rejection). Please note that if the manuscript will not be published, the author or his/her institution gets the money back (except the cases of poor feedback from authors or withdrawal/rejection due to multiple submissions). We inform the authors about our final decision (acceptance or rejection) in 3-S weeks after their submission. The average overall time from submission of the manuscript to publication is 10 weeks. Faster processing involves a tax of priority (200 USD).

Manuscript processing fee: 300 USD (or equivalent in EURO or RON);

Reviewer information pack Editorial Board Expanded Coverage / databases Volume 18(6)/2023 (December, 30) Volume 16(5)/2023 (October, 30) Volume 16(4)/2023 (August, 30) Volume 16(2)/2023 (August, 30) Volume 16(1)/2023 (February, 26) Volume 15(5)/2022 (December, 30) Volume 15(5)/2022 (October, 30) Volume 15(4)/2022 (August, 30) Volume 15(3)/2022 (June, 30) Volume 15(3)/2022 (June, 30)

Pontus Euxinus, Volume 1 (1980) - Parent Journal	AACL Bioflux is indexed, abstracted or fulltext reproduced by/in the following academic/scholar/scientific/bibliographic/bibliometric databases, engines,
AACL Bioflux	libraries:
	Thomson Reuters Scientific - ISI Web of Knowledge
Management, Monitoring, Policy and Law	Thomson Reuters Scientific - Zoological Record (direct submission/coverage)
best quertile	Scopus - Elsevier; Sciverse
5jR 2022 0.24	Scimago - Journal Rank
powered by scimagojr.com	CAB International - CAB Abstracts
	China Educational Publications Import & Export Corporation - SOCOLAR
	Ulrich's Periodicals Directory
	EBSCO – EBSCOhost Online Research Databases
	CAB Direct (as part of CABI)
	Wolters Kluwer - Ovid LinkSolver
	CNCSIS Romania (Rank: B+)
	CABELL'S
	ProQuest
	The National Science Digital Library - NSDL
	The University of Hong Kong Libraries – HKUL Database

Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation & Legislation - International Journal of the Bioflux Society

ISSN 1844-9166 (online)

ISSN 1844-8143 (print)

Published by Bioflux - bimonthly -

in cooperation with The Natural Sciences Museum Complex (Constanta, Romania)

Peer-reviewed (each article was independently evaluated before publication by two specialists)

The journal includes original papers, short communications, and reviews on Aquaculture (Biology, Technology, Economics, Marketing), Fish Genetics and Improvement, Aquarium Sciences, Fisheries, Ichthyology, Aquatic Ecology, Conservation of Aquatic Resources and Legislation (in connection with aquatic issues) from wide world.

The manuscripts should be submitted to zoobiomag2004@yahoo.com

Editor-in-Chief:

Petrescu-Mag I. Valentin: USAMV Cluj, Cluj-Napoca, University of Oradea (Romania); IBFF (Moldova)

Gavriloaie Ionel-Claudiu (reserve): SC Bioflux SRL, Cluj-Napoca (Romania).

Editors:

Abdel-Rahim Mohamed M.: National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, Alexandria (Egypt)

Adascalitei Oana: Maritime University of Constanta, Constanta (Romania)

Amira Aicha Beya: Badji Mokhtar Annaba University, Annaba (Algeria) Arockiaraj A. Jesu: SRM University, Chennai (India) Appelbaum Samuel: Ben-Gurion University of the Negev (Israel) Baharuddin Nursalwa: Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Terengganu (Malaysia) Balint Claudia: USAMV Cluj, Cluj-Napoca (Romania) Boaru Anca: USAMV Cluj, Cluj-Napoca (Romania) Bora Florin D.: USAMV Cluj, Cluj-Napoca (Romania) Breden Felix: Simon Fraser University (Canada) Burny Philippe: Universite de Liege, Gembloux (Belgium) Caipang Cristopher M.A.: Temasek Polytechnic (Singapore) Chapman Frank: University of Florida, Gainesville (USA) Creanga Steofil: USAMV lasi, lasi (Romania) Cristea Victor: Dunarea de Jos University of Galati, Galati (Romania) Das Simon Kumar: Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Selangor (Malaysia) Dimaggio Matthew A.: University of Florida (USA) Georgescu Bogdan: USAMV Cluj, Cluj-Napoca (Romania) Ionescu Tudor: University of Oradea, Oradea (Romania) Karayucel Ismihan: University of Sinop, Sinop (Turkey) Khamesipour Faham: Shiraz University, Shiraz (Iran) Kosco Jan: Presov University, Presov (Slovakia) Kovacs Eniko: USAMV Cluj, Cluj-Napoca (Romania) Kucska Balázs: Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Kaposvár (Hungary) Mehrad Bahar: Gorgan University of Agricultural Sciences and Nat. Res. (Iran) Miclaus Viorel: USAMV Cluj, Cluj-Napoca (Romania) Molnar Kalman: Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest (Hungary) Muchlisin Zainal Abidin: Universiti Sains (Malaysia), Syiah Kuala University (Indonesia) Muntean George Catalin: USAMV Cluj, Cluj-Napoca (Romania) Nowak Michal: University of Agriculture in Krakow (Poland) Nyanti Lee: Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Sarawak (Malaysia) Odagiu Antonia: USAMV Cluj, Cluj-Napoca (Romania); BENA, Thessaloniki (Greece) Olivotto Ike: Universita Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona (Italy) Oroian Firuta Camelia: USAMV Cluj, Cluj-Napoca (Romania) Papuc Tudor: USAMV Cluj, Cluj-Napoca (Romania) Parvulescu Lucian: West University of Timisoara (Romania) Pasarin Benone: USAMV Iasi, Iasi (Romania) Pattikawa Jesaja Ajub: Pattimura University, Ambon (Indonesia) Petrescu Dacinia Crina: Babes-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca (Romania), Universite de Liege, Gembloux (Belgium) Petrescu-Mag Ruxandra Malina: Babes-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca (Romania), Universite de Liege, Gembloux (Belgium) Petrovici Milca: West University of Timisoara (Romania) Pratasik Silvester Benny: Sam Ratulangi University, Manado (Indonesia) Proorocu Marian: USAMV Cluj, Cluj-Napoca (Romania) Putri A. R. Sahni: Hasanuddin University, Makassar (Indonesia) Ray Sunuram: Khulna University (Bangladesh)

Rhyne Andrew: Roger Williams University; New England Aquarium, Boston (USA) Ruchin Alexander B.: Joint Directorate of the Mordovia State Nature Reserve and National Park «Smolny», Saransk (Russia) Safirescu Calin: USAMV Cluj, Cluj-Napoca (Romania) Sándor Zsuzsanna J.: National Agriculture Research and Innovation Center, Gödöllő (Hungary)

Serrano Jr. Augusto E.: University of the Philippines Visayas (Philippines)

Sima Nicusor-Flavius: USAMV Cluj, Cluj-Napoca (Romania); BENA, Thessaloniki (Greece) Tlusty Michael F.: New England Aquarium, Boston (USA)

Vesa Stefan Cristian: Iuliu Hatieganu UMF, Cluj-Napoca (Romania)

Vintila Iuliana: Dunarea de Jos University of Galati, Galati (Romania)

Wariaghli Fatima: University Mohammed V in Rabat, Rabat (Morocco)

Yusli Wardiatno: Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor (Indonesia).

Volume 12(4)/2019

First pages, 2019 AACL Bioflux 12(4):i-vi.

Ueno-Fukura M., Jimenez-Ojeda Y. K., Corredor-Ruiz J. S., Collazos-Lasso L. F., 2019 Usage of alkalizers in the nursery culture of *Piaractus brachypomus* with Biofloc technology -BFT. AACL Bioflux 12(4):989-995.

Pramono T. B., Arfiati D., Widodo M. S., Yanuhar U., 2019 Genetic characteristics of senggaringan fish (*Mystus singaringan*) from Klawing River, Brantas River and Thailand as the basis of conservation and domestication. AACL Bioflux 12(4):996-1004.

Wijayanto D., Bambang A. N., Kurohman F., 2019 The impact of 'cantrang' (Danish seine) fisheries on gill net fisheries in Tegal coastal area, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1005-1014.

Sriwijayanti L. A., Djumanto, Probosunu N., 2019 Single and mixed cultivation methods of transplanted *Pocillopora verrucosa* and *Stylophora pistillata* (Anthozoa) in Serangan planting areas, Bali, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1015-1024.

Triatmaja R. A., Pursetyo K. T., Triastuti J., 2019 The density of blood cockle (*Tegillarca granosa*) population in the river estuary of industrial area. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1025-1030.

Wahyuningtyas A. F., Mufidah A., Alamsjah M. A., Agustono, Pudjiastuti P., 2019 Evaluation of bleaching caused by different acidity degree (pH) levels in *Sargassum* sp. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1031-1039.

Alimuddin, Karim M. Y., Tahya A. M., 2019 Survival rate of mud crab *Scylla olivacea* larvae reared in coloured tanks. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1040-1044.

Kurnia A., Nur I., Muskita W. H., Hamzah M., Iba W., Patadjai R. S., Balubi A. M., Kalidupa N., 2019 Improving skin coloration of koi carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) fed with red dragon fruit peel meal. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1045-1053.

Cristianawati O., Sibero M. T., Ayuningrum D., Nuryadi H., Syafitri E., Riniarsih I., Radjasa O. K., 2019 Screening of antibacterial activity of seagrass-associated bacteria from the North Java Sea, Indonesia against multidrug-resistant bacteria. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1054-1064.

Asadi M. A., Hertika A. M. S., Iranawati F., Yuwandita A. Y., 2019 Microplastics in the sediment of intertidal areas of Lamongan, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1065-1073.

Nugroho E., Azrita, Syandri H., Dewi R. R. S. P. S., 2019 DNA barcoding of giant gourami (*Osphronemus goramy*) from West Sumatra, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1074-1079.

Widagdo A., Fadly Z. R., Ariana M., Azis M. A., Hanifah A., Keo A. S., Sadir E. A., Hermawan F., Darondo F. A., Sitepu M. H., Sareng R., Alamsah S., Pickassa F. I., 2019 Sustainable potential of threadfin bream *Nemipterus japonicus* in Brondong, East Java, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1080-1086.

Rusaini, Owens L., 2019 The effect of viral infection on the relationship between the LOS cells and moulting stages of the black tiger prawn (*Penaeus monodon*). AACL Bioflux 12(4):1087-1101.

Kasim M., Mustafa A., Ishak E., Ibrahim M. N., Irawati N., Wanurgayah, Munir T., Muzuni, Jalil W., 2019 Environmental status of *Kappaphycus alvarezii* cultivation area following temporary eutrophication. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1102-1113.

Ruchin A. B., Osipov V. V., Fayzulin A. I., Bakin O. V., Tselishcheva L. G., Bayanov N. G., 2019 Chinese sleeper (*Perccottus glenii* Dybowski, 1877) (Pisces, Odontobutidae) in the reserves and National Parks of the middle and lower Volga (Russia): mini-review. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1114-1124.

Hasnan H. H., Wan Sulaiman W. M. A., Ahmad Z., Wan Rasdi N., Kassim Z., 2019 *Amphiascoides neglectus* (Copepoda: Harpacticoida) as diet for aquarium corals cultured under laboratory condition. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1125-1133.

Albartin S. A., 2019 Analysis if institutional development strategy for *Sasi* on Ambon Island, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1134-1141.

Susilowati A., Mulyawan A. E., Yaqin K., Rahim S. W., Jabbar F. B. A., 2019 Effects of vermicompost on growth performance and antioxidant status of seaweed *Caulerpa racemosa*, South Sulawesi, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1142-1148.

Rashid M. H., Amin S. M. N., Aris A. Z., Arshad A., Yusoff F. M., 2019 Size distribution and abundance of juvenile hilsa, *Tenualosa ilisha* in the major rivers of Bangladesh. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1149-1155.

Torres G. A., Imues M. A., Acosta J. E., Sanguino W. R., Chapman F. A., 2019 Use of diluted acids and scrub pads in the mass culture of the freshwater rotifer *Brachionus calyciflorus*. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1156-1162.

Malik J., Fahrudin A., Bengen D. G., Taryono, 2019 Strategic policy for small-scale fisheries management in Semarang City, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1163-1173.

Revesz N., Havasi M., Lefler K. K., Hegyi A., Ardo L., Sandor Z., 2019 Protein replacement with dried distiller's grain with solubles (DDGS) in practical diet of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). AACL Bioflux 12(4):1174-1188.

Leiwakabessy J., Nanlohy E. E. E. M., Lewerissa S., 2019 Fatty acid profile of some fresh and dried molluscs in Central Maluku, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1189-1195.

Cahyadinata I., Fahrudin A., Sulistiono, Kurnia R., 2019 Food security and multidimensional poverty of mud crab fishermen household in small and outer islands of Indonesia. Case study: Enggano Island, Bengkulu Province. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1196-1207.

Jayadi J., Ilmiah I., Hadijah S., Kasnir M., Roslim D. I., 2019 DNA barcoding of Telmatherinidae family in Lake Towuti, South Sulawesi, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1208-1215.

Ndour I., Ndiaye I., Clotilde-Ba F. L., Diadhiou H. D., 2019 Copepod communities' structure in an upwelling tropical marine ecosystem in West Africa. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1216-1226.

Maulidiyah V., Sulmartiwi L., Masithah E. D., 2019 The effect of immersion time in tannin solution towards the adhesiveness and hatching degree of the eggs of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). AACL Bioflux 12(4):1227-1233.

Fahlevy K., Khodijah S., Prasetia M. F., Nasrullah I. A., Yudha F. K., Subhan B., Madduppa H., 2019 Live hard coral coverage and coral diseases distribution in the Ujung Kulon National Park, Banten, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1234-1249.

Hassan A., Okomoda V. T., Austin J. G., 2019 Preliminary report on the novel hybrid from crosses of *Clarias gariepinus* and *Hemibagrus nemurus*. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1250-1259.

Balisco R. A. T., Dolorosa R. G., 2019 The reef-associated fishes of West Sulu Sea, Palawan, Philippines: a checklist and trophic structure. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1260-1299.

Maulana A. E., Diniah, Setiawan D. P., 2019 'It's complicated': tier-based adoption barriers to in-home fish consumption of Indonesian urban consumers. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1300-1315.

Pronina G. I., Petrushin A. B., 2019 Techniques for *in vivo* extraction of gonads of male European catfish (*Silurus glanis*) for the artificial reproduction. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1316-1322.

Tirtadanu, Chodrijah U., 2019 Fishery, population parameters and exploitation status of blue swimming crab (*Portunus pelagicus*) in Kwandang Waters, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1323-1334.

Hamid A., Wardiatno Y., Irawati N., 2019 Biological aspects of genus *Thalamita* Latreille, 1829 (Decapoda: Portunidae) in Lasongko Bay, Southeast Sulawesi, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1335-1348.

Lubis M. Z., Pamungkas D. S., Pujiyati S., 2019 Mapping of seabed target and TIN modeling using hydroacoustic methods in Piayu waters, Batam. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1349-1357.

Sahami F. M., Kepel R. C., Olii A. H., Pratasik S. B., 2019 Determination of morphological alteration based on molecular analysis and melanophore pattern of the migrating Nike fish in Gorontalo Bay, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1358-1365.

Hartami P., Carman O., Zairin M. J., Alimuddin A., 2019 Measurement of zygote DNA content to determine the initial shock time in the striped catfish (*Pangasianodon hypophthalmus*) tetraploid induction. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1366-1374.

Baharuddin N., Basir N. H. M., Zainuddin S. N. H., 2019 Tropical intertidal gastropods: insights on diversity, abundance, distribution and shell morphometrics of Pulau Bidong, Malaysia. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1375-1387.

Opa E. T., Kusen J. D., Kepel R. C., Jusuf A., Lumingas L. J. L., 2019 Community structure of mangrove in Mantehage Island and Paniki Island, North Sulawesi, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1388-1403.

Palo M., Najamuddin, Zainuddin M., Farhum S. A., 2019 Selectivity of drifting gillnet to *Hirundichthys oxycephalus* (bony flyingfish) in the Southern part of Makassar Strait. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1404-1412.

Jamaluddin, Syam H., Mustarin A., Rivai A. A., 2019 Spatial multi-criteria approach for determining the cultivation location of seaweed *Eucheuma cottonii* in Takalar Regency, South Sulawesi, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1413-1430.

Om A. D., Nik Yusoff N. H., Iehata S., Beng Chu K., Jamari Z., 2019 The potential use of yam tuber with probiotic for gonad development of tiger grouper. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1431-1441.

Messerer Y., Retima A., Amira A. B., Djebar A. B., 2019 Climatic changes, hydrology and trophic status of Lake Oubeira (extreme northeast of Algeria). AACL Bioflux 12(4):1442-1457.

Malik A., Rahim A., Sideng U., Rasyid A., Jumaddin J., 2019 Biodiversity assessment of mangrove vegetation for the sustainability of ecotourism in West Sulawesi, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1458-1466.

Purnamawati, Nirmala K., Affandi R., Dewantoro E., Utami D. A. S., 2019 Survival and growth response of snakehead fish *Channa striata* juvenile on various salinity levels of acid sulfate water. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1467-1479



Survival and growth response of snakehead fish *Channa striata* juvenile on various salinity levels of acid sulfate water

¹Purnamawati, ²Kukuh Nirmala, ³Ridwan Affandi, ⁴Eko Dewantoro, ⁵Diah A. S. Utami

¹Study Program of Aquaculture, Department of Marine Science and Fisheries, Pontianak State Polytechnic, Pontianak, West Kalimantan, Indonesia; ²Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Dramaga Campus, Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor, West Java, Indonesia; ³Department of Aquatic Resources Management, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Dramaga Campus, Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor, West Java, Indonesia. ⁴Study Program of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Muhammadiyah University of Pontianak, Pontianak, West Kalimantan, Indonesia; ⁵Study Program of Aquaculture, Marine and Fisheries Polytechnic of Jembrana, Jembrana, Bali, Indonesia. Corresponding author: Purnamawati, pur_polnep@yahoo.com

Abstract. The aim of this study was to analyze the effect of salinity levels on biometric and physiological responses of snakehead fish (*Channa striata*) juvenile reared in acid sulfate water medium. The experiment was conducted through completely randomized design (CRD) with salinity levels of 0, 3, 6, and 9 ppt as treatments, and each treatment had six replications. The snakehead fish juvenile with an average length of 2.4 ± 0.2 cm and an average weight of 0.21 ± 0.04 g reared in the aquarium sizing 30 x 25 x 35 cm with a stocking density of 2 fish L⁻¹, for 40 days. The fish were fed commercial feed with a protein content of 40% two times a day (morning and afternoon) to apparent satiation. The water was continuously aerated and water replacement was done every 2 days about 10% of the total water volume in the aquarium. The results showed that salinity significantly affected the biometric and physiological responses of snakehead fish juvenile. The medium with a salinity level of 3 ppt gave the best results shown by the highest value of survival (77%), growth rate (5.62% day⁻¹), feed efficiency (87.5%), protein retention (38.32%), energy retention (25.50%) and albumin content (4.52 g 100 mL⁻¹), and had the lowest value of osmotic gradient (0.097 osmoL kg⁻¹ H₂O), oxygen consumption rate (1.99 mg O₂ g⁻¹ h⁻¹), and blood glucose (25.05 mg 100 mL⁻¹).

Key Words: air-breathing fish, biometric responses, freshwater fish, osmolarity, physiological responses.

Introduction. Most of aquaculture practices have been applied in tidal lands, but in general, the aquaculture productivity in these areas has still been relatively low. This is due to various problems, such as the low pH at a range of 2.53-3.39, the sulfate range at 6.91-8.7 mg L⁻¹, and the iron (Fe) range at 0.72-2.83 mg L⁻¹, the low dissolved oxygen level (<5 mg L⁻¹), and a high range of salinity shock, that can be problems for the stenohalin fish. The entrance of seawater causes the high difference in salinity levels in the tidal area between the rainy season and the dry season reaching 0-28 ppt (Purnamawati et al 2017). In this suboptimal medium, not all fishery commodities can be reared in the tidal waters, especially in acid sulfate mediums.

In aquaculture business, a high production rate is the most important target that has to be achieved. Production is determined by the growth rate and survival. Salinity is one of the important environmental factors that affect the growth performance in many fish species (Altinok & Grizzle 2001; Kang'ombe & Brown 2008; Luz et al 2008; Dayal et al 2011; Sarma et al 2013; Ma et al 2016). The effects of salinity have been studied in several fish species reared in ponds, tanks, and floating cages (Cruz et al 1990; Watanabe et al 1990; Sarma et al 2013). Salinity can directly affect the physiological activity of an organism, either on its osmoregulation or bioenergetically (Dutil et al 1997; Alava 1998; Mommsen et al 1999; Morgan & Iwama 1999; Kammerer et al 2010).

The higher the salinity, the higher the osmotic pressure and vice versa. The body fluid of the freshwater fish tends to become hyperosmotic in such an environment (Wedemeyer 1996), so it requires energy for osmotic regulation in order to keep the fish alive. The way to reduce the use of energy for osmoregulation is by lowering the osmotic gradient between the fish and the environment by setting the salinity of the medium (Evans & Claiborne 2005), so the expenditure of the energy for adaptation can be replaced to maximize the growth of the fish. The decline of the energy for adaptation caused by the increase of the salinity level in the medium has been demonstrated in several species of freshwater fish (Peterson & Maedor 1994). The maximum growth of several freshwater fish species occurs at a salinity of 3-5 ppt (James et al 2003). However, this statement is debatable (Boeuf & Payan 2001; Sarma et al 2013). Several previous studies revealed that the air-breathing fish can survive in brackish water. Monopterus albus can grow at a salinity level of 10 ppt (Pedersen et al 2014). Anabas testudineus can tolerate a salinity range up to 30 ppt (Chang et al 2007). Clarias batrachus can survive a salinity range up to 8 ppt. However, the growth and survival of those species are low than compared to the fish that live in freshwater (Sarma et al 2013). The salinity tolerance of the Channidae family has still been unknown (Nakkrasae et al 2015). A study that conducts the measurement of the salinity level in tidal land, especially in acid sulfate medium, has never been done.

Snakehead fish (*Channa striata*) is a freshwater fish classified in Perciformes order and Channidae family (Nakkrasae et al 2015). This fish is a potential and important species to be developed as aquaculture commodity (Mollah 1985; Marimuthu et al 2009; Mollah et al 2009; Rahman et al 2013) and has a high economic value. Moreover, the flesh of this fish is used as a treatment of post-surgical therapy and can increase body endurance (Gam et al 2006; Marimuthu et al 2009). This species commonly lives in ponds, fields and rivers, preferring the stagnant water and muddy medium. It can survive in the dry season by digging mud when its skin and breathing apparatus remains humid. The natural habitat of *C. striata* is spread from freshwater to brackish water (Nakkrasae et al 2015).

Based on these facts, it is necessary to conduct a study to analyze the response of *C. striata* juvenile on various salinity levels of acid sulfate water medium. The aim of this study was to analyze the effect of salinity levels on biometric and physiological responses of *C. striata* juvenile reared in acid sulfate water medium.

Material and Method

The study was conducted at Fish Seed Center, Department of Agriculture, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries, Pontianak, West Kalimantan. The measurement of albumin levels, the proximate analysis of the fish feed and the proximate analysis of the experimental fish were conducted at Fish Nutrition Laboratory, Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor, West Java, Indonesia. The measurement of plasma glucose levels was conducted at the Environmental and Food Technology Laboratory, Tanjungpura University, Pontianak, West Kalimantan, Indonesia.

Experimental design. The experiment was conducted in the laboratory through a completely randomized design (CRD). The treatments used in this experiment consisted of four treatments, namely 0, 3, 6, and 9 ppt. Each treatment had 6 replications.

Experimental fish. The experimental fish used in this study were *C. striata* juveniles with an average initial length of 2.4 ± 0.2 cm and an average initial weight of 0.21 ± 0.05 g. The fish were reared in mediums equipped with aeration equipment.

Experimental tanks and mediums. The containers used were 24 units of glass aquarium sizing 30 x 25 x 35 cm. Those containers were filled with acid sulfate water from tidal land in Kubu Raya Regency, West Kalimantan, Indonesia. The water had been prepared in a reservoir tank and deposited for 3 days. To get a suitable experimental medium according to salinity levels applied for the treatments in this study, there was an addition of salt (1 gram per liter) into acid sulfate water medium to increase salinity level becoming 1 ppt higher than the initial salinity level. The top of the aquarium was closed with a net to avoid the fish jump out of the container.

The rearing of the fish. The experimental fish were adapted to a laboratory environment, with the aim to adjust the fish to new environmental conditions. The adaptation was performed in 4 units of glass aquariums sizing $30 \times 25 \times 35$ cm for 7 days. Furthermore, the fish were acclimatized to salinity by increasing salinity in the medium gradually until it reached salinity levels applied as the treatments in this study (0, 3, 6, and 9 ppt).

The *C. striata* that had been acclimatized were reared in an aquarium with a stocking density of 2 fish L⁻¹ (Vivekanandan 1977). The experiment was conducted for 40 days. The fish in all treatments were fed by commercial feed with a protein content of \pm 40%, and with a feeding frequency of 2 times a day to apparent satiation. During the experiment, the mediums were continuously aerated and water exchange was conducted as much as 10% of the total volume of medium every two weeks. Substitute water was provided in other aquariums with the same salinity level of the replaced water.

The observation of the number of living fish was conducted every day until the end of the experiment to obtain the data of fish survival. The measurements of the length and the weight of the fish were done every 10 days to get the growth data. The amount of feed consumed was known from the sum of daily feed consumed during the experiment. The physiological responses (osmotic gradients, oxygen consumption and blood glucose) were measured at the end of the experiment. The observations and measurements of temperature, pH and dissolved oxygen in the medium were carried out every day, while the measurements of SO₄²⁻, H₂S, alkalinity, hardness, and NH₃ were performed at the beginning and at end of the experiment.

Experimental parameters. The measurements of water quality parameters were performed following the procedures described by APHA (1989). The measurement of albumin levels followed the method described by Infusino & Panteghini (2013), while the proximate analysis of the fish feed and the experimental fish were carried out according to the procedure by Takeuchi (1988). The measurement of plasma glucose levels was performed using a liquicolor glucose commercial COD-PAP kit with the calorimetric method and the results of the measurement were read with a spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 500 nm following the procedure by Wedemeyer and Yasutake (1977). The osmotic gradient was analyzed according to Clark et al (1983), while the oxygen consumption rate was analyzed according to Liao & Huang (1975).

Survival is the percentage of the final number of the living fish at the end of the study with the initial number of the fish. Survival was calculated using a formula described by Kang'ombe & Brown (2008) as follows:

$$SR = (N_t \times N_0^{-1}) \times 100$$

Where:

SR = Survival (%)

 N_t = The number of fish at the end of the study (individual)

 N_{o} = The number of fish at the beginning of the study (individual)

Specific growth rate (SGR) was calculated using a formula stated by Weatherley & Gill (1989) as follows:

SGR =
$$[(\ln W_2 - \ln W_1)/(t_2 - t_1)] \times 100$$

Where:

SGR = Specific growth rate ($\% day^{-1}$)

- W_1 = The average weight of the fish at the beginning of the study (g)
- W_2 = The average weight of the fish at time t_2 (g)

 $t_2 - t_1 = Experimental duration$

The feed efficiency of the *C. striata* juvenile was calculated using a formula constructed by Kang'ombe & Brown (2008) as follows:

$$e = [((Wt + D) - Wo) / F] \times 100$$

Where:

e = Feed efficiency

 W_o = The weight of the fish at the beginning of the study (g)

- W_t = The weight of the fish at the end of the study (g)
- D = The weight of the dead fish during the study (g)
- F = The weight of total feed given during the study (g dry weight)

Statistical analysis. Survival, SGR, albumin level, feed efficiency, protein retention, energy retention, osmotic gradient, oxygen consumption rate and blood glucose level were analyzed through analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a confidence level of 95%. The Least Significant Difference (LSD) test was applied, where significant effects were found. Physical and chemical parameters of the water were interpreted descriptively.

Results and Discussion

Results. The average values of water physical-chemical parameters (temperature, pH, SO_4^{2-} , H₂S, hardness, alkalinity, NH₃, and dissolved oxygen) for 40 days of the present experiment can be seen in Table 1. The water quality parameters of acid sulfate water medium with various salinity levels used for the rearing of *C. striata* juveniles is in the tolerance range. From all parameters observed, only the water temperature reached the optimum range. Most of water physical-chemical parameters values observed in this study could still support the life of *C. striata*, except hardness in acid sulfate water medium with salinity levels of 6 and 9 ppt that reached 395.83 mg L⁻¹ and 589.33 mg L⁻¹, respectively, and alkalinity in acid sulfate water medium with a salinity level of 0 ppt. Those values were below the tolerance value.

Table 1

Water physical-chemical parameters of acid sulfate water medium with various salinity levels in the 40-day-rearing of *Channa striata* juveniles

Parameters	Salinities				Tolerance and
	0 ppt	3 ppt	6 ppt	9 ppt	optimum ranges
pH	4.97±1.37	5.65±1.02	5.88±1.12	6.26±1.05	4.25-9.4 ^{*1)}
Sulfate (SO ₄ ²⁻) (mg L ⁻¹)	33.33±19.66	23.33±19.66	15.00 ± 5.48	33.33±29.44	5-100*2)
Sulfide (H_2S) (mg L ⁻¹)	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.02±0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	< 0.1*2)
Total hardness (mg L ⁻¹ CaCO₃)	122.33±19.28	266.67±16.32	395.83±27.76	589.33±22.45	20-300*4)
Total alkalinity (mg L ⁻¹ CaCO ₃)	18.20±1.65	22.77±1.80	32.73±4.64	30.97±2.81	20-150 ^{*3)}
NH_3 (mg L ⁻¹)	0.02 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.01	0.01 ± 0.01	0.01 ± 0.00	<1.57 ^{*4)}
Dissolved oxygen (mg L^{-1})	5.43 ± 0.40	5.92±0.39	5.72±0.31	5.70 ± 0.30	>5 ^{**1)}
Temperature (°C)	29.76±0.83	30.41±0.64	30.16±0.69	29.65±0.96	26-32 ^{*1)}

¹⁾Courtenay Jr. & Williams (2004), ²⁾Boyd (1998), ³⁾Wedemeyer (1996), and ⁴⁾Qin et al (1997), ^{*)} tolerance range and ^{**)} optimum.

Physiological responses (osmotic gradients, oxygen consumption, and blood glucose) and biometric responses (survival, growth, and feed efficiency) of *C. striata* juveniles reared at mediums with different salinity levels can be seen in Table 2 and Table 3. Water salinity affects metabolic rate and stress level of the fish. This could be seen in oxygen

consumption rates and blood glucose levels. The medium with a salinity level of 3 ppt resulted in the lowest oxygen consumption rate (P<0.05) with a value of 1.99 mg O_2 g⁻¹ hour⁻¹, followed by mediums with salinity levels of 6 ppt, 9 ppt and 0 ppt, respectively. The lowest blood glucose level (P<0.05) with a value of 25.05 mg 100 mL⁻¹ was also found in the medium with a salinity level of 3 ppt (Table 2).

Table 2

Osmotic gradient (osmotic), oxygen consumption rate (O_2 cons) and blood glucose (glucose) of *Channa striata* juveniles reared in acid sulfate water medium with various salinity levels for 40 days

Paramotors	Salinities					
Parameters	0 ppt	3 ppt	6 ppt	9 ppt		
Osmotic (OsmoL kg ⁻¹ H ₂ O)	0.121 ± 0.006^{b}	0.097 ± 0.005^{a}	0.098 ± 0.005^{a}	0.105±0.011 ^ª		
O_2 cons (mg O_2 g ⁻¹ hour ⁻¹)	6.20±0.49 ^c	1.99 ± 0.08^{a}	3.99 ± 0.43^{b}	4.36±0.41 ^b		
Glucose (mg 100 mL ⁻¹)	28.56±1.00 ^b	25.05±1.19ª	28.00±1.15 ^b	30.40±0.82 ^c		
Different superscript letters in the same row indicate significantly different results ($P < 0.05$).						

The survival of *C. striata* juveniles during the study in the medium with a salinity level of 3 ppt showed the highest value (77%) and it was significantly different (P<0.05) from other salinity levels. Salinity also affected growth. The highest growth of *C. striata* juveniles (P<0.05) was found in *C. striata* juveniles reared in the medium with a salinity level of 3 ppt, which was 5.62% day⁻¹. The albumin content in the fish flesh was also influenced by the salinity of the rearing medium. The highest albumin content (P<0.05) was found in *C. striata* juveniles reared in the medium with a salinity level of 3 ppt (4.52 g 100 mL⁻¹). Feed efficiency, protein retention and energy retention relatively had the same pattern. The highest values in feed efficiency, protein retention, and energy retention were found in *C. striata* juveniles reared in acid sulfate water medium with salinity levels of 3 ppt and 6 ppt which were significantly different (P<0.05) from *C. striata* juveniles reared in other salinity levels (Table 3).

Table 3

Survival, specific growth rate, albumin content, feed efficiency, protein retention and energy retention of *Channa striata* juveniles reared in acid sulfate water medium with various salinity levels for 40 days

Parameters	Salinities					
Parameters	0 ppt	3 ppt	6 ppt	9 ppt		
Survival (%)	58±6.12 ^b	77±7.00 ^d	67±6.53 ^c	36±7.94 ^ª		
Specific growth rate (% day ⁻¹)	3.47±0.26 ^b	5.62±0.78 ^c	3.80 ± 0.51^{b}	2.75±0.68 ^ª		
Albumin content (g 100 mL $^{-1}$)	4.15±0.06ª	4.52±0.02 ^d	4.46±0.04 ^c	4.40±0.03 ^b		
Feed efficiency (%)	67.5±5.66ª	87.5±7.80 ^b	80.6±15.34 ^b	60.8±6.96ª		
Protein retention (%)	17.06±4.44 ^ª	38.32±5.53 ^b	30.89±5.82 ^b	5.74±1.34 ^ª		
Energy retention (%)	11.87±2.54ª	25.50 ± 3.26^{b}	19.88±3.271 ^b	3.45±1.29 ^ª		

Different superscript letters in the same row indicate significantly different results (P<0.05).

Discussion. The high survival and the growth rate of *C. striata* juveniles reared in a medium with a salinity level of 3 ppt are related to the water quality conditions (Wedemeyer 1996). Water quality is one of the important factors that affects the growth of *C. striata*. If water quality parameters (dissolved oxygen, temperature, ammonia, alkalinity, hardness, sulfide, sulfate and pH) pass the optimum and the tolerance ranges, the fish growth will be hampered and those can cause death in the fish.

The *C. striata* is classified as a strong fish, but it is sensitive to environmental changes. Aquatic organisms require oxygen for the combustion process to produce energy for several activities such as swimming, growth and reproduction. The dissolved oxygen range during the rearing period was 5.43-5.92 mg L⁻¹. The dissolved oxygen content in the study still met the optimum dissolved oxygen requirements and was not

harmful to *C. striata* juveniles. According to Boyd (1998), if the dissolved oxygen level is below 5 mg L^{-1} , this condition will not cause death in the fish, if it does not occur for a long time. The *C. striata* have an additional respiratory organ called diverticula so they are able to live in a medium with minimum oxygen level and take oxygen from the air.

The temperature range obtained during the rearing period was $29.65-30.41^{\circ}$ C. This temperature range is still considered optimum and it can be tolerated by *C. striata* (Courtenay Jr. & Williams 2004). The level of NH₃ measured in this study was about $0.01-0.03 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$. This level was relatively low and can be tolerated by *C. striata* juveniles, because this species can grow in a medium with an ammonia concentration of 1.57 mg L⁻¹ (Qin et al 1997).

The sulfate content in the experimental medium was 15.00-33.33 mg L⁻¹. The value decreased with the increase of salinity levels from 0 to 6 ppt, but then it increased again in a medium with a salinity level of 9 ppt. Although this sulfate content was relatively high, it was still within the tolerance range for the life of the fish. On the other hand, the sulfide content in this study showed the opposite phenomenon. A low sulfate content was followed by the increase of sulfide content. This is caused by the reduction of sulfate into sulfides. In all treatments, sulfides contained in the rearing medium were at a low level and it was still within the tolerance range for the life of the fish (Boyd 1998).

The pH range during the rearing period was in the tolerance range to promote the growth of *C. striata* juveniles. In the experimental medium with a salinity level of 3 ppt, the pH range observed supported the physiological activity of the fish. For the life of *C. striata*, the tolerance range of pH is between 4.25-9.4 (Courtenay Jr. & Williams 2004). At this range, the ability of fish gills to bind oxygen is more optimal.

During the rearing period, the alkalinity in the experimental medium with a salinity level of 0 ppt was $18.20 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{ CaCO}_3$. This alkalinity was below the optimum range for the fish growth (Wedemeyer 1996; Cavalcante et al 2012). The alkalinity in the rearing medium with salinity range 3 ppt to 9 ppt showed an increase with the rise of medium salinity levels, but it was still in the optimum range (20–150 mg L⁻¹ CaCO₃). In this alkalinity range, the ability of alkalinity to support water pH is also getting better.

The hardness in the experimental mediums with salinity levels of 0 and 3 ppt were 122.33 and 266.67 mg L⁻¹ CaCO₃, respectively. These values were still within the tolerance limit for the life of the fish. In contrast, the hardness values in the experimental medium with salinity levels of 6 ppt and 9 ppt were 395.83 mg L⁻¹ CaCO₃ and 589.33 mg L⁻¹ CaCO₃, respectively. Those values were far above the tolerance range for the life of the fish. This phenomenon can occur because the excess supply of calcium affects water quality and ultimately affects growth. In the optimum range, the increase of hardness is useful in relation to the calcium supply that is important for bone formation and exoskeleton, osmoregulation and reduces the tolerance limit, it can certainly have a negative impact on the gill's ability to bind ions and homeostatic equilibrium in the fish body. The high value of alkalinity and hardness along with the increase in salinity is caused by the increase of cations and saline anions. In addition, the presence of sulfate in acid sulfate medium also increases the number of anions that play a role to bind magnesium as a cation affecting hardness (Boyd 1988).

Salinity expressed in the form of osmolarity is one of the water quality parameters that can affect the survival and the growth of the fish. Changes in medium salinity will affect the osmolarity of the medium and the fish body fluids (plasma). The high gradient between the dissolved substances concentration in the blood and the environment caused the water entrance to the freshwater fish body by osmosis through a semipermeable membrane. If this process is not controlled, then the condition can cause the blood of the freshwater fish to contain excessive water (hemodilution) that is fatal for the fish life (Wedemeyer 1996). To prevent hemodilution, the freshwater fish must maintain a dynamic balance (steady-state) through osmotic regulation (osmoregulation) (Perry et al 2003; Evans & Claiborne 2005).

The *C. striata* is classified as stenohalin fish which is resistant to marginal water conditions, but it is sensitive to extreme environmental changes, especially salinity shocks. The results showed that the experimental medium with a salinity level of 3 ppt

was the optimum condition for the ongoing physiological process of *C. striata*. Martínez-Porchas et al (2009) suggested that in order to adapt to the environment, the fish have a certain salinity tolerance range. The organism's ability to adapt to the environment is influenced by several factors, such as the fish species, the age or the size of the fish, stocking density and the water quality condition in the medium where the fish lives. This is indicated by the survival of *C. striata* juveniles during the study, demonstrating that *C. striata* juveniles reared in acid sulfate water medium with a salinity level of 3 ppt resulting survival with a value of 77% that showed the highest value when compared to those of 6 ppt (67%), 0 ppt (58%), and 9 ppt (36%). The high survival indicates that *C. striata* could adapt well even though that condition was a different environmental condition from *C. striata* natural habitat. It was due to conducive conditions in the experimental medium with a salinity level of 3 ppt. This condition was indicated by a low osmotic gradient value (0.097 OsmoL kg⁻¹ H₂O), low oxygen consumption level (1.99 mg O₂ g⁻¹ hour⁻¹) and blood plasma glucose level (25.05 mg 100 mL⁻¹) showed by *C. striata* juvenile reared in the medium with a salinity level of 3 ppt.

The low osmotic gradient can be used to determine the osmoregulation of *C. striata* juvenile. In the low osmotic gradient, the osmotic load will also be low and vice versa. The highest osmotic gradient was found at the salinity treatments of 0 ppt (0.121 OsmoL kg⁻¹ H₂O) and 9 ppt (0.105 OsmoL kg⁻¹ H₂O) proving that *C. striata* juveniles were osmoregulatory animals with hyperosmotic strategies like most of the freshwater fish. Arjona et al (2009) state that more osmotic gradient will cause a higher use of energy for osmoregulation. The lower osmotic gradient will cause the energy used for osmoregulation becoming low, so the portion of energy for the growth will be higher. However, if the salinity is increased to a higher level it causes dehydration in the muscles, a significant increase in cortisol circulation, and adverse effects on feed consumption, feed conversion and growth (Luz et al 2008). Nakkrasae et al (2015) reported that *C. striata* with a weight of 120 ± 1.24 g could live at a salinity range ≥ 10 ppt, but the osmoregulation mechanism underlying this ability is still unknown. Cortisol increases rapidly with the increase of plasma glucose and lactate.

A different phenomenon occurred in *C. striata* juveniles reared in the medium with a salinity level of 0 ppt, they carried out an osmoregulation process to maintain their homeostatic condition. This condition was expressed from the highest oxygen consumption rate in the treatment of 0 ppt (6.20 mg $O_2 g^{-1}$ hour⁻¹) causing energy for the growth process to be reduced. When C. striata lived in salinity levels of 9 ppt and 6 ppt, the fish did a high body osmoregulation to meet the salt content in the body to be balanced, so that the fish would consume a lot of water from the environment in order to balance the osmotic pressure between the body and the environment. When the fish is in a high salinity level, the body has a lot of salt from the environment, this makes the kidney and gills that play a direct role in the osmoregulation process to be able to receive and remove excess salt in the body. However, this condition certainly requires more energy resulting in increased oxygen consumption (higher metabolism). At a higher salinity level, the chloride cells of gills experience the increase in the transfer activity of entered natrium ions to be released in order to make the fish body's osmotic pressure being stable (Perry et al 2003; Evans & Claiborne 2005). The increase in energy requirements is also indicated by the increase of the oxygen consumption rate (Kirschner 1995; Morgan & Iwama 1999; Kidder III et al 2006a, b).

At lower salinity levels, *C. striata* juvenile conducts fewer active transport to excrete excess natrium ions from gills, and the fish secretes more urine to balance the osmotic pressure between the environment and the body so the fish requires lower energy. In optimum environmental conditions, the allocation of the energy used in standard metabolic processes (osmoregulation) becomes minimum and the energy portion for the growth will increase (Perry et al 2003; Evans & Claiborne 2005). This condition was indicated by the lowest blood glucose level of *C. striata* reared in acid sulfate water medium with a salinity level of 3 ppt (25.05 mg 100 mL⁻¹ or 1.39 mmoL L⁻¹). Iwama et al (1999) and Martínez-Porchas et al (2009) explained that an increase in blood glucose indicates stress response of an organism as a result of cortisol release in the hypothalamus, through the bloodstream to the chromaffin tissue in the kidney, as a

secondary stress continuation that increases glucose level through glucogenesis and glycogenolysis. Furthermore, Reid et al (1998) and Mommsen et al (1999) also stated that under suboptimal conditions or stress conditions (internal or external) chromafine cells release catecholamine, adrenaline and noradrenaline into blood circulation. This is in line with the results of this study demonstrating that the high blood glucose levels were produced by *C. striata* juveniles in treatments with large osmotic gradients (0 ppt, 6 ppt and 9 ppt). That condition causes sub-optimal nutrient absorption from the consumed food and it is ultimately expressed by slow growth rate. The ability to absorb salt from this environment is influenced by corticosteroid that plays a role in osmoregulation, metabolism control, hydromineral balance and overall stress response (McCormick & Bradshaw 2006).

Salinity level at a value of 3 ppt was the treatment that produced the smallest osmotic gradient. This environmental condition caused the best growth rate, albumin content and feed efficiency ratio of *C. striata* juveniles among other treatments. It is similar to a statement affirmed by Partridge & Jenkins (2002) that the efficiency of feed utilization will run optimally if the osmotic gradient is in normal conditions, so the digestion process will be more efficient. Therefore, high or low feed efficiency is influenced by the magnitude of the osmotic load.

In isotonic condition, the body cells of *C. striata* are in an ideal condition, so the physiological processes in the fish body will run normally. In this condition, the feed digestion and absorption processes will take place quickly, so the stomach emptying rate will run quickly as well. This will cause hunger followed by the increase of feed consumption, so there will be more feed consumed (Arjona et al 2009). The C. striata juveniles reared in the medium with a salinity level of 3 ppt consumed the feed of an amount of 79.76 g. This was the highest amount when compared to other treatments (0 ppt = 33.87 q, 6 ppt = 35.63 q and 9 ppt = 23.86 q). This showed that optimum salinity caused an increase in appetite and followed the amount of feed consumed. According to Brett (1971), the amount of feed consumed by fish every day is one of the factors that influences the potential of the fish to grow optimally and the daily consumption rate is closely related to gastric capacity and emptiness. This was demonstrated by higher growth of C. striata juveniles reared in acid sulfate water medium with a salinity level of 3 ppt (5.62%). The difference in growth rate indicated that *C. striata* reared in the medium with a salinity of 3 ppt was better in utilizing energy source derived from the feed. Feed converted to the fish flesh is related to feed consumption and energy used for osmoregulation. However, the higher the amount of feed consumed and the lower the energy used for osmoregulation will result in more amount of feed being converted to the fish flesh (Kang'ombe & Brown 2008). In the medium with a salinity level of 3 ppt, the osmotic pressure conditions of the medium tend to approach the osmotic pressure or isosmotic of C. striata juveniles. According to Arjona et al (2009), isoosmotic conditions can increase growth, because the energy for osmoregulation is smaller or absent, so the energy for the growth is available in larger quantities. This condition is reflected in the protein retention value of the treatment with the lowest osmotic value that was demonstrated by C. striata juveniles reared in the medium with a salinity level of 3 ppt (38.32%). This showed that the feed given could be utilized by the fish body properly, so the nutrient content of the feed could be retained in the body efficiently. According to Ballestrazzi et al (1994) protein retention shows the large contribution of protein consumed in the feed to the increase of body protein. It is clear that an increase in salinity plays a role in the utilization of energy from the feed, because more protein is stored and only a little amount of protein decomposes or is used for energy to maintain salt balance in the body (homeostatic).

An increase in salinity also affects energy retention value. A low osmotic gradient will reduce the workload of the Na⁺, $-K^+$, -ATPase enzyme and the active transport of Na⁺, K⁺ and Cl⁻, so energy (ATP) used for the osmoregulation process can be minimized and there will be more energy used for growth (Marshall 2002; Partridge & Jenkins 2002; Evans & Claiborne 2005; Tang & Lee 2007; Ern et al 2014).

According to Cui et al (1992), energy retention shows the contribution of the energy from the feed consumed to the increase of the energy in the fish body. The feed

given is an energy source that can be used for body maintenance, metabolic activity and growth. Furthermore, Jobling et al (1991) stated that high energy for body activities would reduce the energy budget for growth. According to Nelson & Chabot (2011), energy in the feed is physiologically used for maintenance and metabolism, if there is energy residue, it will be deposited as body tissue in the process of growth and the synthesis of reproductive tissue.

In hypotonic condition, *C. striata* will experience a large osmotic workload, as a result of high osmotic gradients compared to ideal condition. This causes the energy used for the osmoregulation process to become greater (Arjona et al 2009). This phenomenon can be seen from the energy retention of *C. striata* reared the medium with a salinity level of 0 ppt that has an energy retention value of 11.87% and it was lower than the energy retention of *C. striata* juveniles reared at a salinity level of 3 ppt (25.50%). This causes the energy excess used for osmoregulation to be used for other physiological processes, such as growth or production. Fats are usually stored as energy reserves for long-term energy requirements during full activity period or during periods without food and energy. In the medium with salinity levels of 0 ppt and 9 ppt, it was suspected that fat played a role as an energy source (protein sparing effect), so energy retention decreased while protein from the feed was more efficiently used to increase body weight. The results of the study showed that the feed efficiency of *C. striata* juveniles reared in the medium with a salinity level of 3 ppt had the highest efficiency of the feed utilization (87.5%).

The low osmotic loads cause the consumed feed to be used more for the fish growth (Tang & Lee 2007; Ern et al 2014). At the treatment of 3 ppt, the fish were more efficient in using energy for the osmoregulation process, so more energy could be used for growth. Likewise, the efficiency of the feed utilization had decreased with the increasing of medium salinity from the optimum condition.

The albumin content reached the highest value in the treatment of 3 ppt (4.52 g 100 mL⁻¹). This was due to the hypertonic state of the body cells of *C. striata* juveniles which was an ideal condition, so the physiological processes in the body of the fish would run normally. By maintaining albumin in the blood plasma, the fish can also maintain blood volume. According to Infusino & Panteghini (2013) albumin is one of the blood plasma proteins synthesized in the liver. Albumin plays a very important role in maintaining plasma osmotic pressure, transporting small molecules through the plasma and extracellular fluid (Suprayitno 2014).

Conclusions. According to our study, acid sulfate water at a salinity level of 3 ppt was the best rearing medium for *C. striata* juveniles. It was shown by minimum levels of osmotic gradient, oxygen consumption and blood glucose. At this salinity level, albumin content, protein and energy retention in *C. striata* juvenile's body reached the maximum levels. It was also followed by the best physiological responses shown by a high growth performance, thus, in this environmental condition, *C. striata* juveniles had a survival of 77%, a specific growth rate of 5,62% day⁻¹ and a feed efficiency of 87.5%.

References

- Alava V. R., 1998 Effect of salinity, dietary lipid source and level on growth of milkfish (*Chanos chanos*) fry. Aquaculture 167:229-236.
- Altinok I., Grizzle J. M., 2001 Effects of low salinities on *Flavobacterium columnare* infection of euryhaline and freshwater stenohaline fishes. Journal of Fish Diseases 24:361-367.
- Arjona J. F., Vargas-Chacoff L., Ruiz-Jarabo I., Gonçalves O., Páscoa I., del Río M. P. M., Mancera J. M., 2009 Tertiary stress responses in Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis* Kaup, 1858) to osmotic challenge: Implications for osmoregulation, energy metabolism and growth. Aquaculture 287:419-426.
- Ballestrazzi R., Lannari D., D'Agaro E., Mion A., 1994 The effect of dietary protein level and source on growth, body composition, total ammonia and reactive phosphate excretion of growing sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). Aquaculture 127:197-206.

Boeuf G., Payan P., 2001 How should salinity influence fish growth. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology and Pharmacology 130:411-423.

- Boyd C. E., 1988 Water quality in warmwater fish ponds. University of Alabama Press, Texas, 359 p.
- Boyd C. E., 1998 Water quality for pond aquaculture. International Center for Aquaculture and Aquatic Environments, Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station, Auburn University, Alabama, 37 p.
- Brett J. R., 1971 Satiation time, appetite, and maximum food intake of sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*). Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 28:409-415.
- Cavalcante D. H., da Silva S. R., Pinheiro, P. D., Akao M. M. F., e Sá M. V. C., 2012 Single or paired increase of total alkalinity and hardness of water for cultivation of Nile tilapia juveniles, *Oreochromis niloticus*. Maringá 34:177-183.
- Chang E. W. Y , Loong A. M., Wong W. P., Chew S. F., Wilson J. M., Ip Y. K., 2007 Changes in tissue free amino acid contents, branchial Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity and bimodal breathing pattern in the freshwater climbing perch, *Anabas testudineus* (Bloch), during seawater acclimation. JEZ-A Ecological and Integrative Physiology 307A:708-723.
- Clark M. R., Mohandas N., Shohet S. B., 1983 Osmotic gradient ektacytometry: comprehensive characterization of red cell volume and surface maintenance. Blood 61:899-910.
- Courtenay Jr. W. R., Williams J. D., 2004 Snakeheads (Pisces, Channidae) A biological synopsis and risk assessment. U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, 143 p.
- Cruz E. M., Ridha M., Abdullah M. S., 1990 Production of the African freshwater tilapia *Oreochromis spilurus* (Günther) in seawater. Aquaculture 84:41-48.
- Cui Y., Liu X., Wang S., Chen S., 1992 Growth and energy budget in young grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella* Val.) fed plant and animal diets. Journal of Fish Biology 41:231-238.
- Dayal R., Srivastava P. P., Bhatnagar A., Chowdhary S., Lakra W. S., Raizada S., Yadav A. K., 2011 Comparative study of WLR of *Channa striatus* of fry-fingerling, growouts and adults of gangetic plains. Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research 2:174-176.
- Dutil J. D., Lambert Y., Boucher E., 1997 Does higher growth rate in Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) at low salinity result from lower standard metabolic rate or increased protein digestibility. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 54:99-103.
- Ern R., Huong D. T. T., Cong N. V., Bayley M., Wang T., 2014 Effect of salinity on oxygen consumption in fishes: a review. Journal of Fish Biology 84:1210-1220.
- Evans D. H., Claiborne J. B., 2005 The physiology of fishes, third edition. CRC Press, Florida, 616 p.
- Gam L. H., Leow C. Y., Baie S., 2006 Proteomic analysis of snakehead fish (*Channa striata*) muscle tissue. Malaysian Journal of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 14:25-32.
- Infusino I., Panteghini M., 2013 Serum albumin: accuracy and clinical use. Clinica Chimica Acta 419:15-18.
- Iwama G. K., Vijayan M. M., Forsyth R. B., Ackerman P. A., 1999 Heat shock proteins and physiological stress in fish. American Zoologist 39:901-909.
- James K. R., Cant B., Ryan T., 2003 Responses of freshwater biota to rising salinity levels and implications for saline water management: a review. Australian Journal of Botany 51:703-713.
- Jobling M., Knudsen R., Pedersen P. S., Santos J. D., 1991 Effects of dietary composition and energy content on the nutritional energetics of cod, *Gadus morhua*. Aquaculture 92:243-257.
- Kammerer B. D., Cech Jr. J. J., Kültz D., 2010 Rapid changes in plasma cortisol, osmolality, and respiration in response to salinity stress in tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology 157:260-265.

- Kang'ombe J., Brown J. A., 2008 Effect of salinity on growth, feed utilization, and survival of *Tilapia rendalli* under laboratory conditions. Journal of Applied Aquaculture 20:256-271.
- Kidder III G. W., Petersen C. W., Preston R. L., 2006a Energetics of osmoregulation: I.
 oxygen consumption by *Fundulus heteroclitus*. Journal of Experimental Zoology Part
 A: Comparative Experimental Biology 305A:309-317.
- Kidder III G. W., Petersen C. W., Preston R. L., 2006b Energetics of osmoregulation: II. water flux and osmoregulatory work in the euryhaline fish, *Fundulus heteroclitus*. Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Comparative Experimental Biology 305A:318-327.
- Kirschner L. B., 1995 Energetics of osmoregulation in fresh water vertebrates. Journal of Experimental Zoology 271:243-252.
- Liao I. C., Huang H. J., 1975 Studies on the respiration of economic prawn in Taiwan. I. oxygen consumption and lethal dissolved oxygen of egg up to young prawn of *Penaeus monodon* Fabricius. Journal of the Fisheries Society of Taiwan 4:33-50.
- Luz R. K., Martínez-Álvarez R. M., De Pedro N., Delgado M. J., 2008 Growth, food intake regulation and metabolic adaptations in goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) exposed to different salinities. Aquaculture 276:171-178.
- Ma Z., Zheng P., Guo H., Jiang S., Qin J. G., Zhang D., Liu X., 2016 Salinity regulates antioxidant enzyme and Na⁺K⁺-ATPase activities of juvenile golden pompano *Trachinotus ovatus* (Linnaeus 1758). Aquaculture Research 47:1481-1487.
- Marimuthu K., Jesu Arokiaraj A., Haniffa M. A., 2009 Effect of diet quality on seed production of the spotted snakehead *Channa punctatus* (Bloch). American-Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture 3:344-347.
- Marshall W. S., 2002 Na⁺, Cl⁻, Ca²⁺ and Zn²⁺ transport by fish gills: retrospective review and prospective synthesis. Journal of Experimental Zoology 293:264-283.
- Martínez-Porchas M., Martínez-Cordova L. R., Ramos-Enriquez R., 2009 Cortisol and glucose: reliable indicators of fish stress. Pan-American Journal of Aquatic Sciences 4:158-178.
- McCormick S. D., Bradshaw D., 2006 Hormonal control of salt and water balance in vertebrates. General and Comparative Endocrinology 147:3-8.
- Mollah M. F. A., 1985 Effects of stocking density and water depth on the growth and survival of freshwater catfish (*Clarias macrocephalus* Gunther) larvae. Indian Journal of Fisheries 35:1-17.
- Mollah M. F. A., Mamun M. S. A., Sarowar M. N., Roy A., 2009 Effects of stocking density on the growth and breeding performance of broodfish and larval growth and survival of shol, *Channa striatus* (Bloch). Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University 7:427-432.
- Mommsen T. P., Vijayan M. M., Moon T. W., 1999 Cortisol in teleosts: dynamics, mechanisms of action, and metabolic regulation. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 9:211-268.
- Morgan J. D., Iwama G. K., 1999 Energy cost of NaCl transport in isolated gills of cutthroat trout. American Journal of Physiology Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology 277:R631-R639.
- Nakkrasae L., Wisetdee K., Charoenphandhu N., 2015 Osmoregulatory adaptations of freshwater air-breathing snakehead fish (*Channa striata*) after exposure to brackish water. Journal of Comparative Physiology B 185:527-537.
- Nelson J. A., Chabot D., 2011 General energy metabolism. In: Encyclopedia of fish physiology: from genome to environment. Farrell A. P. (ed), volume 3, pp. 1566-1572, Academic Press, San Diego.
- Partridge G. J., Jenkins G. I., 2002 The effect of salinity on growth and survival of juvenile black bream (*Acanthopagrus butcheri*). Aquaculture 210:219-230.
- Pedersen P. B. M., Hansen K., Huong D. T. T., Bayley M., Wang T., 2014 Effects of salinity on osmoregulation, growth and survival in Asian swamp eel (*Monopterus albus*) (Zuiew 1793). Aquaculture Research 45:427-438.
- Perry S. F., Shahsavarani A., Georgalis T., Bayaa M., Furimsky M., Thomas S. L. Y., 2003 Channels, pumps, and exchangers in the gill and kidney of freshwater fishes: Their

role in iconic and acid-base regulation. Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A 300A:53-62.

- Peterson M. S., Maedor M. R., 1994 Effects of salinity on freshwater fishes in coastal plain drainages in the Southeastern U.S. Reviews in Fisheries Science 2:95-121.
- Purnamawati, Djokosetiyanto D., Nirmala K., Harris E., Affandi R., 2017 Survival and growth of striped snakehead fish (*Channa striata* Bloch.) juvenile reared in acid sulfate water and rainwater medium. AACL Bioflux 10:265-273.
- Qin J., Fast A. W., Kai A. T., 1997 Tolerance of snakehead *Channa striatus* to ammonia at different pH. Journal of the World Aquaculture Society 28:87-90.
- Rahman M. A., Arshad A., Amin S. M. N., Shamsudin M. N., 2013 Growth and survival of fingerlings of a threatened snakehead, *Channa striata* (Bloch) in earthen nursery ponds. Asian Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances 8:216-226.
- Reid S. G., Bernier N. J., Perry S. F., 1998 The adrenergic stress response in fish: control of catecholamine storage and release. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Pharmacology, Toxicology and Endocrinology 120:1-27.
- Sarma K., Prabakaran K., Krishnan P., Grinson G., Kumar A. A., 2013 Response of a freshwater air-breathing fish, *Clarias batrachus* to salinity stress: an experimental case for their farming in brackishwater areas in Andaman, India. Aquaculture International 21:183-196.
- Suprayitno E., 2014 Profile albumin fish cork (*Ophicephalus striatus*) of different ecosystems. International Journal of Current Research and Academic Review 2:201-208.
- Takeuchi T., 1988 Laboratory work-chemical evaluation of dietary nutrient. In: Fish nutrition and mariculture. Watanabe T. (ed), pp. 179-233, Kanagawa International Fisheries Training Centre, Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA), Tokyo.
- Tang C. H., Lee T. H., 2007 The effect of environmental salinity on the protein expression of Na⁺/K⁺ -ATPase, Na⁺/K⁺/2Cl⁻ cotransporter, cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator, anion, exchanger 1, and chloride channel 3 in gills of a euryhaline teleost, *Tetraodon nigroviridis*. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular and Integrative Physiology 147:521-528.
- Vivekanandan E., 1977 Surfacing activity and food utilisation in the obligatory airbreathing fish *Ophiocephalus striatus* as a function of body weight. Hydrobiologia 55:99-112.
- Watanabe W. O., Clark J. H., Dunham J. B., Wicklund R. I., Olla B. L., 1990 Culture of Florida red tilapia in marine cages: the effect of stocking density and dietary protein on growth. Aquaculture 90:123-134.
- Weatherley A. H., Gill H. S., 1989 The biology of fish growth. Academic Press, London, 443 p.
- Wedemeyer G. A., 1996 Physiology of fish in intensive culture system. Springer US, New York, 232 p.
- Wedemeyer G. A., Yasutake W. T., 1977 Clinical methods for the assessment of the effects of environmental stress on fish health. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington D.C., 18 p.
- *** APHA (American Public Health Association), 1989 Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater 17th edition. American Public Health Association, Washington D.C., 1268 p.

Received: 1 August 2019. Accepted: 28 August 2019. Published online: 30 August 2019. Authors:

Purnamawati, Pontianak State Polytechnic, Department of Marine Science and Fisheries, Study Program of Aquaculture, Indonesia, 78124 West Kalimantan, Pontianak, Jalan Ahmad Yani, e-mail: pur_polnep@yahoo.com Kukuh Nirmala, Bogor Agricultural University, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Department of Aquaculture, Indonesia, 16680 West Java, Bogor, Dramaga Campus, e-mail: kukuhnirmala@yahoo.com Ridwan Affandi, Bogor Agricultural University, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Department of Aquatic Resources Management, Indonesia, 16680 West Java, Bogor, Dramaga Campus, e-mail: affandi ridwan@yahoo.com

Eko Dewantoro, Muhammadiyah University of Pontianak, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Study Program of Aquaculture, Indonesia, 78124 West Kalimantan, Pontianak, Jalan Ahmad Yani, e-mail: ekodewe_ump@yahoo.com

Diah Ayu Satyari Utami, Marine and Fisheries Polytechnic of Jembrana, Study Program of Aquaculture, Indonesia, 82218 Bali, Jembrana, Desa Pengambengan, e-mail: dplongitm@gmail.com This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article:

Purnamawati, Nirmala K., Affandi R., Dewantoro E., Utami D. A. S., 2019 Survival and growth response of snakehead fish *Channa striata* juvenile on various salinity levels of acid sulfate water. AACL Bioflux 12(4):1467-1479.